

Planning Team Report

Proposal Title : Proposal Summ	Residential to fa 1.5ha and 3ha at ary : To reduce the m creation of 284 I	Amendment to Dubbo LEP 2011 - To reduce the minimum lot size of land in zone R5 Large Lo Residential to facilitate the creation of 284 lots with a range of minimum lot sizes, of 6000m2, 1.5ha and 3ha at land known as Daisy Hill, Dubbo y: To reduce the minimum lot size of land in zone R5 Large Lot Residential to facilitate the creation of 284 lots with a range of minimum lot sizes, of 6000m2, 1.5ha and 3ha at land know as Daisy Hill, Dubbo.			t sizes, of 6000m2, facilitate the
PP Number ;	PP_2016_DUBB	O_005_00	Dop File No :	16/05770	
Proposal Details	3				
Date Planning Proposal Receiv	18-Apr-2016		LGA covered :	Dubbo	
Region :	Western		RPA :	Western Joir	nt Regional Planning
State Electorate	DUBBO		Section of the Act :	55 - Planning	g Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning				
Location Detail	S				
Street :	24L Eulomogo Road				
Suburb :		City :	Dubbo	Postcode :	2830
Land Parcel :	Lot 661 DP 565756				
Street :	2L Torwood Road				
Suburb :		City :	Dubbo	Postcode :	2830
Land Parcel :	Lot 662 DP 565756				
Street :	16L Eulomogo Road				
Suburb :		City :	Dubbo	Postcode :	2830
Land Parcel :	Lot 64 DP 754287				
Street :	24L Eulomogo Road				
Suburb :		City :	Dubbo	Postcode :	2830
Land Parcel :	Lot 65 DP 754287				
Street :	Pinedale Road				
Suburb :		City :	Dubbo	Postcode :	2830
Land Parcel :	Lot 316 DP 754308				
Street :	Pinedale Road				
Suburb :		City :	Dubbo	Postcode :	2830
Land Parcel :	Lot 317 DP 754308				

000m2, 1.5ha and 3h	Eulomogo Road				
Suburb :		City :	Dubbo	Postcode :	2830
	200 DP 825059	Gity .			2030
	200 DP 823039				
DoP Planning Office	er Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Jenna McNabb				
Contact Number :	0268412180				54
Contact Email :	jenna.mcnabb@plannir	ng.nsw.gov	.au		
RPA Contact Detail	S				
Contact Name :	Angela Kenna				
Contact Number :	0269228206				
Contact Email :	angela.kenna@plannin	g.nsw.gov.	au		
DoP Project Manag	er Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Wayne Garnsey				
Contact Number :	0268412180				
Contact Email :	wayne.garnsey@planni	ing.nsw.go	v.au		
Land Release Data					
Growth Centre :	N/A		Release Area Name :	N/A	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	N/A		Consistent with Strategy :	N/A	
MDP Number :			Date of Release :		
Area of Release (Ha)	383.00		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Residential	
No. of Lots :	0		No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	284	
Gross Floor Area	0		No of Jobs Created	0	
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with : If No, comment :	Yes				
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists?	Νο				
If Yes, comment :	There have been no k	nown meet	ings or communications with	n registered lo	bbyists.
Supporting notes					
Internal Supporting Notes :	The proposed applica	tion involv	es:		
	-	 Amending the Dubbo LEP 2011 Lot Size Map Sheet LSZ_008 to reduce the minimum lot size of Lot 65 DP 754287 and Lots 661 and 662 DP 565756 to 3 hectares; 			

,	
	 Amending the Dubbo LEP 2011 Lot Size Map Sheet LSZ_008 to reduce the minimum lot size of Lot 64 DP 754827 to 1.5ha; and
	 Amending the Dubbo LEP 2011 Lot Size Map LSZ_008 to reduce the minimum lot size of Lots 316 and 317 DP 754308 to 6,000m2.
	Lot 200 DP 825059 is currently zoned R5 Large Lot Residential with a minimum lot size of 1.5 hectares. No changes are proposed to be made to the minimum lot size or zoning of this lot, however, it forms part of the subject land to which this proposal applies.
	The subject land is zone R5 Large Lot Residential, with a minimum lot size of 8 hectares under the Dubbo LEP 2011. Three existing dwellings and associated infrastructure are currently located on each of Lot 64 and 65 DP 754287 and Lot 662 DP 565756.
	The subject land is currently utilised for low impact agricultural activities, however has been identified as suitable for rural residential lifestyle purposes in the Dubbo LEP 2011 and Dubbo City Council Urban Development Strategy (A) Residential Areas Development Strategy, 1996 (the Strategy). The Strategy identifies the subject land as suitable for residential subdivision for small acre/lifestyle focus, pending the outcome of a dry land salinity study on the subject land.
	The land has an area of 383 hectares. The reduction in minimum lot size to the proposed ranges could yield approximately 284 lots in the Daisy Hill precinct.
	Strategically the land is located within a residential zone, adjacent to existing rural lifestyle developments. The land is proposed to be serviced with reticulated water. The proposal can therefore be considered to be broadly consistent with the Strategy.
	Council resolved on 27 May 2013 in response to a submission made by the landowner to change the lot size of the land, that it accepted the proposal was consistent with the Strategy and invited the proponent to submit a planning proposal.
	A planning proposal was lodged with Dubbo City Council on 25 October 2013. The applicant sought to amend the minimum lot size of the subject land to the same proposed minimum lot size range; however the highest density of the lots was proposed to be located on the area with the highest level of salinity risk.
	Council requested additional information in relation to salinity and groundwater impacts resulting from the proposed development. Council also requested compliance with the Strategy even though it agreed that the proposal was consistent with the Strategy on 27 May 2013 prior to the submission of the planning proposal.
	Following continued discussions with the applicant, and repeated reviews of submitted information, Council formed the opinion that the proposed development is inconsistent with the Strategy, and also the proposed development presents "unacceptable groundwater and salinity impacts to both future residential development on the land and in the catchment area" following revision of the dryland salinity study by the Department of Primary Industries.
	The proponent lodged a pre-Gateway review with the Department (PGR_2015_DUBBO_001_00) on 5 August 2015, with an amended lot layout to locate larger allotments (less density) on land with the highest salinity risk. The Western JRPP (Panel) determined on 28 October 2015 that the proposal has strategic merit, and should proceed to Gateway subject to requirements relating to a salinity management plan and development control plan as part of a revised planning proposal.
	The Department recommended that these technical issues should be resolved at Gateway stage and supported the proposal on the basis of its strategic merit. The need for these

	requirements will be assessed in this proposal.
	Council do not support the proposal, as Council is of the opinion that the proposal is inconsistent with the Strategy, and there are unacceptable groundwater and salinity impacts on the site.
	Council rejected the offer to be the Relevant Planning Authority (RPA) and the Minister for Planning appointed the Western Joint Regional Planning Panel as the RPA on 18 March 2016.
External Supporting Notes :	This Planning Proposal is the result of Pre Gateway Review PGR_2015_DUBBO_001_00.

Adequacy Assessment

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes Comment : The application proposes: Amending the Dubbo LEP 2011 Lot Size Map Sheet LSZ_008 to reduce the minimum lot size of Lot 65 DP 754287 and Lots 661 and 662 DP 565756 to 3 hectares; Amending the Dubbo LEP 2011 Lot Size Map Sheet LSZ_008 to reduce the minimum lot size of Lot 64 DP 754827 to 1.5ha; and Amending the Dubbo LEP 2011 Lot Size Map Sheet LSZ_008 to reduce the minimum lot size of Lots 316 and 317 DP 754308 to 6,000m2. Lot 200 DP 825059 has a minimum lot size of 1.5 hectares and is zone R5 Large Lot Residential. No changes are proposed to the minimum lot size or zoning of Lot 200. Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b) Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes Comment : The proposal will require the amendment of the Dubbo LEP 2011 Lot Size Map Sheet LSZ_008 to reflect the proposed minimum lot size changes. No changes to clauses in the instrument or zoning maps are required. Justification - s55 (2)(c) a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes b) S.117 directions identified by RPA : 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones * May need the Director General's agreement 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection Is the Director General's agreement required? N/A c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified? N/A Section 117 Directions e) List any other matters that need to be considered : •2.3 Heritage Conservation A listed heritage item of local significance is located on Lot 65 DP 754287 (pise house) and two aboriginal sites are located on Lot 64 DP 754287. It is considered that the as the heritage status of the items is not proposed to be changed, and the proposal only includes the reduction in the minimum lot size. Protection of the items and appropriate

> measures can be implemented by Council at the development application stage of the development. Protection measures for these items will also be required to be made through the development of the lot layout in a draft DCP. This will be a condition of the Gateway. The proposal is consistent with this Direction.

• 3.1 Residential Zones

The planning proposal is considered to be consistent with this Direction. The reduction in the minimum lot size is proposed in a residential zone (R5 Large Lot Residential). The proposal will increase the choice of housing availability in the Local Government Area, and increase the lot yield of the site, contributing to a more efficient use of existing infrastructure and services. Additional infrastructure required to support the eventual subdivision of the site is available to be connected. The planning proposal does not contain provisions that reduce the permissible residential density of the subject land.

• 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport

The planning proposal is consistent with this Direction. The existing and planning road systems are considered to be adequate for the additional traffic that would be generated by the increase of density of the subject land. School and public passenger bus routes operate in the vicinity of the land. The distance of the land from the CBD does not warrant the provision of pedestrian or cycling paths.

4.4 Planning for Bush Fire Protection

The eastern fringe of the subject land which bounds Torwood Road is within the 100 metre buffer area that applies to a section of High Category bushfire prone land to the east of the subject land. The proposal is inconsistent with this Direction, as written confirmation from the NSW Rural Fire Service has not been obtained. It is considered that this can be obtained prior to the commencement of community consultation. The subject land was zoned R5 by Council in 2011 as part of the Standard Instrument program, and bushfire risk was considered by default at that time. Consultation with the NSW Rural Fire Service as required by this Direction will be conditioned that this is undertaken prior to community consultation.

SEPPS

There are no specific SEPPs applicable to the proposal.

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain :

Comment :

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Indicative mapping has been provided. Prior to finalising the LEP amendment, mapping will be required to be prepared in accordance with the Department's Technical Guidelines.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment : A community consultation period of 28 has been proposed. This is considered to be appropriate.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? N/A

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in relation The Dubbo LEP 2011 was notified on 11 November 2011. to Principal LEP :

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning
proposal :The planning proposal is the result of a pre-Gateway review, determined by the Panel on
28 October 2015. A planning proposal is considered the most appropriate mechanism to
achieve the outcome to change the minimum lot size of the subject land.

6000m2, 1.5ha and 3ha	a at land known as Daisy Hill, Dubbo
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The Dubbo City Council Urban Development Strategy (A) Residential Areas Development Strategy, 1996 (the Strategy) is applicable to the subject application.
	The Strategy identifies the subject land as suitable for residential subdivision for small acre/lifestyle focus, pending the outcome of a dry land salinity study on the subject land.
	The applicant proposes a 284 rural residential subdivision, with allotments ranging in size from 6000m2 to 3 hectares. The applicant is also proposing to include a building envelope on each allotment.
	The Strategy directs future development to west Dubbo, to ensure that the CBD remains at the centre of the urban area. The Strategy also provides for further infill development to be undertaken in the south-east area of Dubbo. The Strategy also identifies that there are minor opportunities for residential development in east Dubbo, due to the high risk of salinity. Full residential development in east Dubbo was not supported at the time of the Strategy, to protect rural land, considering the salinity and environmental risks at the time.
	The subject land is located within the Eastern Sub District of the Strategy, with the main purpose of the land to provide a buffer area for the protection of agricultural enterprises.
	The Strategy recognises that dryland salinity is a significant impediment to the development of the subject land and includes a principle directly related to the issue of salinity on the site:
s	"Allow no further development (subdivision) in the area generally bounded by Peachville Road, Eulomogo Road and Whitewood Road, pending the outcome of the dryland salinity study, as outlined in the Rural Strategy (Central District Strategy page 61 Policy 4)."
	The applicant has undertaken a dryland salinity study on the site, in accordance with the Strategy. The dryland salinity study concludes that the subject land is capable of density intensification.
	Despite the subject land not being identified as an Urban Release Area in the Dubbo LEP 2011, the subject land is zoned R5 Large Lot Residential with a minimum lot size of 8 hectares. This contradicts the Strategy's position that future development should be directed towards West Dubbo.
8	The proposed development is considered to be consistent with the Strategy and LEP, as the subject land has been identified in the Strategy for residential subdivision (pending the outcome of a dryland salinity study), and is zoned R5 Large Lot Residential. The subject land is located adjacent to existing large lot residential subdivisions, and is able to be serviced by reticulated water.
	It is considered that the proposal is broadly consistent with the strategic outcomes of the Strategy.
Environmental social economic impacts :	Environmental Impacts:
	Salinity
	The Dubbo Urban Salinity Management Strategy identifies the subject land as being located within the Richmond Estate Hydro-geological landscape and a small portion of land is also located in the Firgrove Estate Hydro-geological landscape, and is identified as being an area of medium-high salinity hazard. As a result, the subject site is identified in the Dubbo Urban Salinity Management Implementation Plan as a priority management area.
	Approximately half of the area of Lot 200 DP 825059 is mapped as Groundwater Vulnerable with a level of 'moderately high' in the Dubbo LEP 2011. The remainder of the subject land is not mapped as Groundwater Vulnerable. There are no changes proposed to the zoning

or minimum lot size of Lot 200.

	or minimum lot size of Lot 200.
	A desktop salinity study and borehole analysis has been undertaken on the site, to determine any impacts that the proposed minimum lot size reduction and subsequent subdivision will have on the land.
	The study does not discuss the impacts that the proposed 284 allotments will have on the land. The desktop study does include a range of general recommendations to mitigate any potential increase of salinity risk to residential development, including the additional planting of deep rooted vegetation, reduction in groundwater discharge by prohibiting the construction of additional dams on the site and also requiring swimming pools to utilise paper filters rather than sand filters (paper filters do not require backwashing. This is proposed to be enforced by a Section 88B instrument), the use of rainwater tanks for gardening and collection of roof rainwater runoff.
	Council's Urban Salinity Management Implementation Plan identifies that rural residential development can be undertaken on the subject land, subject to appropriate management techniques, such as the ones identified by the applicant, are implemented. The proposed subdivision layout has taken into consideration the existence of salinity on the site, and has located the larger allotments on land that is a higher risk of salinity. In doing so, impacts on groundwater can be minimised by reducing the number of dwellings drawing from bores.
	Reticulated water is proposed to be provided for the subdivision, which will also reduce the impacts on the groundwater in the area. The salinity report identifies areas of risk on the subject land, and analyses borehole drilling, however, does not directly discuss the impact that the additional dwellings and proposed subdivision will have on the allotments.
	Department of Primary Industries have reviewed the salinity study at the request of Council, and have made comment that the modelling undertaken is not accurate and is fundamentally flawed. However, DPI concludes that urban development (not specifically on the subject land) will need to consider the landform, geology and hydro geological conditions, and balance lot sizes to reduce the impact on the land. Council has reiterated this opinion in its reports, recommending that the proposal not be considered until the salinity issues have been resolved. No scientific reason has been provided that the content of the report is incorrect, or the data used in the study is incorrect.
(*)	In accordance with the Panels initial recommendation as part of the Pre-gateway review, it is recommended that the following conditions be applied to the Gateway determination to resolve identified dryland salinity issues prior to community consultation being undertaken:
	 Prepare and submit a Salinity Management Strategy for the site prepared in consultation with and endorsed by Dubbo City Council and the Department of Primary Industries, to address salinity on the site and also potential impacts on downstream Troy Gully; and Prepare a draft Development Control Plan for the site for public exhibition, which includes a revised subdivision pattern and road network layout that demonstrates the agreed outcomes of the Salinity Management Strategy.
	The proponent submitted a review of the salinity reports(dated 23 January 2016) with the planning proposal, reviewing the initial report and modelling submitted as part of the Pre-gateway review. This review specifically addressed impacts of the proposal on-site and downstream in Troy Gully, and mitigation measures to reduce the impacts of salinity at the site.
	The review acknowledges the salinity issues present on the site, and concurs with the modelling used in the initial report as being an accurate representation of local conditions on the site.

and sha a	at failu known as Daisy Hill, Dubbo
	Approximately 5 hectares of land on the eastern portion of the Daisy Hill site is identified as containing substantial subsurface salinity at considerable depths. The report also reviews the adjoining salinity conditions in the Firgrove Estate, a large lot residential rural lifestyle estate, and the salinity mitigation measures. The report discusses the extensive deep rooted tree plantings undertaken in the Firgrove Estate, and recommends that similar plantings be undertaken in the Daisy Hill precinct, in conjunction with collection of rain water from dwellings, and the establishment of gardens.
l	The report also identifies that the impacts on the downstream Troy Gully are considered to be managed through the mitigation measures proposed being consistent with the recommendations within the Dubbo City Council Urban Salinity Management Strategy and Implementation Strategy.
i	The report concludes that the recommendations and management practices made in the initial salinity study are satisfactory and should satisfy Council and Departmental concerns regarding dryland salinity at the subject site, and the mitigation measures proposed are actually likely to decrease salinity at the site and downstream in Troy Gully.
	Consultation is recommended between the proponent, Department of Primary Industries - Water and Council to resolve inconsistencies prior to community consultation beginning. This should include the preparation of an agreed Salinity Management Plan for the subject site, and a draft DCP reflecting the outcomes of the salinity management plan.
I	Bushfire
	The eastern fringe of the subject land, bounded by Torwood Road, is within a 100 metre buffer area of bushfire prone land to the east of the site. Future development of the land will require compliance with the Planning for Bushfire Protection 2006 guidelines, assessable at the development application stage of the subdivision and dwelling construction. The inclusion of a small portion of land within the buffer area is not considered to be a risk to the development, given the land is mostly cleared of vegetation. Consultation with the NSW Rural Fire Service is required prior to community consultation.
I	Flood Prone Land
	The subject land is not identified as being flood prone, affected by acid sulfate soils, or biodiversity significant land.
1	Biodiversity
1 (()	A preliminary flora and fauna assessment has been undertaken as part of the submitted application. The area is identified as being of low ecological value, due to regular grazing, cropping and clearing activities on the land. It was concluded that threatened or endangered species are unlikely to be present on the subject land, and additional assessments are required to determine if the woodland vegetation on the road reserves forms part of an endangered ecological community.
	A small portion of land on Lot 200 DP 825059 and Lot 64 DP 754287 are mapped as having high biodiversity value on Natural Resource - Biodiversity Map Sheet NRB_008 in the Dubbo LEP 2011. This mapping aligns with the existing vegetation on the site. Issues in relation to biodiversity will be referred to the Office of Environment and Heritage for consultation prior to the planning proposal being finalised and furthermore at Development Application stage.
I	An On Site Effluent Management Study (Envirowest Consulting) has also been undertaken. Reticulated water supply is proposed to be provided. The Study provides that on-site effluent disposal can be provided to all the lots, with different systems recommended for

difference soil types to meet statutory requirements.

Heritage

	-			
	undertaken. An Abor DECCW database) ha being an artefact and • Artefact was identif Consent to salvage t removed from the sit Council. • The modified tree (s lot boundaries, and t recommends that the requirements to prot	riginal Her as identifie d a modifie fied as bei the artefac te and is in scarred or the develo e tree be p ect this ite	ed two records of Aboriginal ad tree, as follows: ng located adjacent to the exi t was granted in June 1999, a n the care and control of the D carved) was identified as not pment would not impact on the rotected. The proposal does em. This is considered to be a	nt System (AHIMS) search (via sites on Lot 64 DP 754287, sting dwelling on Lot 64. nd the artefact has been Dubbo Local Aboriginal Land being located near proposed ne tree. The report not change the statutory
	Social Impacts:			
	included as supporti demand for rural resi hectares, at a rate of	ng inform idential la approxim	has been undertaken by Urb ation to the application. At the nd was identified for lots rang ately 14 lots per annum. The be an under supply of rural re	e time of the study, in 2010, a jing in size from 6,000m2 to 10 study also identified that in
	A more recent supply	y and dem	and study has not been unde	rtaken.
			tion in the minimum lot size w tyle housing choice in Dubbo	ill have positive social impact, in accordance with the
	Economic Impacts			
	Economic impacts of lifestyle housing cho	• •	osal are expected to be positi bbo.	ve, providing additional rural
Assessment Process	S			
Proposal type :	Consistent		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	12 months		Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority	Office of Environmen		itage	
Consultation - 56(2)(d)	NSW Rural Fire Servi Transport for NSW - Other		d Maritime Services	
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes		
If no, provide reasons ;				

Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No

If Yes, reasons :

Identify any additional studies, if required.

If Other, provide reasons

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Correspondence received from Duffy Elliott Lawyers	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
dated 15 April 2016.pdf		
Borehole Results Part 2.pdf	Study	Yes
Borehole Results Part 1.pdf	Study	Yes
Review of Salinity Reports 23 Jan 2016 J Lawrie.pdf	Study	Yes
Trees to Fight Salinity on Farms Forest Products	Study	Yes
Commission Australia.pdf		
Groundwater and Salinity Study 25 Feb 2015 Envirowest	Study	Yes
Consulting.pdf		
Annexure A - Plan 1.pdf	Мар	Yes
Annexure A - Plan 2.pdf	Мар 🐘	Yes
Annexure A - Plan 3(ii).pdf	Мар	Yes
Annexure A - Plan 3.pdf	Мар	Yes
Annexure A - Plan 4.pdf	Мар	Yes
Annexure A - Plan 5.pdf	Мар	Yes
Annexure B - Memo of Advice by Robertson SC.pdf	Study	Yes
Annexure C - SEPP schedule of consistency.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Annexure D - S117 Directions statement of consistency	Proposal	Yes
03 02 14.pdf		
Annexure E - Flora and Fauna Report.pdf	Study	Yes
Annexure G - On Site Effluent Management Study.pdf	Study	Yes
Annexure H - Preliminary Contaminiation	Study	Yes
Assessment.pdf		
Annexure I - Aboriginal Archeological Site	Study	Yes
Investigation.pdf		
Annexure J (i) - Suuply and Demand - Main Report	Study	Yes
Urban Economics.pdf		
Annexure J (ii) - Supply and Demand - report and	Study	Yes
letters by urban economics small file.pdf		
Annexure J (iii) - Supply and Demand - Letter 10.09.10	Study	Yes
Urban Economics.pdf		
Annexure J (iv) - Supply and Demand - Letter 10.07.12	Study	Yes
Urban Economics.pdf		
Annexure J (v) - Supply and Demand - Letter 03.10.12	Study	Yes
Urban Economics.pdf		
Annexure K - Letter from Heath Consulting.pdf	Study	Yes
Planning Proposal and Pre Gateway Review - Prepared	Proposal	Yes
by Peter Basha Planning & Development.pdf		

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
Additional Information	It is recommended that the planning proposal proceed subject to the following conditions:
	1. This matter has been subject to a pre-Gateway review.
	2. The Minister for Planning has appointed the Western Joint Regional Planning Panel as the RPA on 18 March 2016.
	3. Prior to community consultation the Panel is to consult with NSW Rural Fire Service to address section 117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection. The Panel is to submit the NSW Rural Fire Service comments to the Department of Planning and Environment for approval prior to community consultation being undertaken.
	4. Prior to community consultation, a Salinity Management Strategy is to be prepared for the site in consultation with, and endorsed by, Dubbo City Council and the Department of Primary Industries. The Salinity Management Strategy shall include agreed:
	 Success criteria; Range of scenarios to be modelled; Modelling methodology; and Format for the presentation of results.
	The Strategy shall address salinity management on the site as well as potential downstream impacts on the Troy Gully catchment and demonstrate that the proposed type, layout and density of development will not have a significant impact on downstream salinity. Once endorsed by Dubbo City Council and the Department of Primary Industries, the Strategy is to be submitted to the Panel to form part of the community consultation package.
	5. Prior to community consultation, a draft Development Control Plan (DCP) for the site is to be prepared. The draft DCP shall include a revised subdivision pattern and road network layout that demonstrates that the agreed outcomes of the Salinity Management Strategy have been addressed with regard to salinity management of the site, including:
	 Size and location of lots to a achieve a transition in lot size; Appropriate lot layout to protect the identified heritage items on the subject land; Vegetation planting, including appropriate size and species; prevention of potential sources of groundwater recharge; On-site effluent management; and Building and infrastructure design and materials.
	The draft DCP shall be submitted to the Panel to form part of the community consultation package.
	6. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 as follows:
	(a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for a minimum of 28 days; and (b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide

	to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning & Infrastructure 2013).	
	7. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of	
	the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979:	
	i. Office of Environment and Heritage;	
	ii. Department of Primary Industries;	
	iii. Transport NSW – Roads and Maritime Services; and	
	iv. Dubbo City Council	
	Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any	
	relevant supporting material, and given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal.	
	9. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. This does not	
	discharge the Panel from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).	
	10. Prior to submission of the planning proposal under Section 59 of the EP&A Act,1979 the LEP maps must be prepared and be compliant with the Department's 'Standard	
	Technical Requirements for LEP maps'.	
	11. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 12 months from the week following the	
	date of the Gateway determination.	
Supporting Reasons :	The proposed minimum lot size reduction is a natural extension of the existing urban area of a large lot residential area and the reduced lot size would provide a more	
	appropriate lot density and use of infrastructure subject to the salinity impacts being addressed.	
	ATON AND	
Signature:		
	Jenna Menaldo Date: 4/5/16.	
Printed Name:	Date: 4510-	
K (]	_	
Endoned 4/	5/16	
Wharmsen	l l	